Foothill College Spring 2020 and Spring 2021
Census Enrollment Comparisons Report

From Spring 2020 and Spring 2021:

- Enrollment
  - Resident student enrollment is down 2%, Non-Resident student enrollment is down 27%.
  - Resident FTES is down 3%, and Non-Resident FTES is down 28%.
  - Overall, student enrollment declined by 1,034, which is an 5% decrease.
  - Overall, estimated FTES decreased by 179, which is a 7% decrease.

Table 1. Census Enrollment Comparisons
Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020		2021		Change		% Change	
Groups	Enr	Est FTES	Enr	Est FTES	Enr	Est FTES	Enr	Est FTES
Resident	22,299	2,400	21,784	2,318	-667	-82	-2%	-3%
Non-Resident	3,015	344	2,210	247	-367	-97	-27%	-28%
Total	25,314	2,744	23,994	2,565	-1,034	-179	-5%	-7%

WSCH estimate based on positive attendance estimated at 100%. | Does not include Apprenticeship.
Census dates are 04/28/2020 and 04/20/2021.
Source: FHDA IRP

- Resident Students
  - Headcount among Spring 2021 resident students is 10,055, a decline of 6% from Spring 2020 (10,689).
  - AB540 headcount decreased by 12% from 386 in Spring 2020 to 339 in Spring 2021, reflecting in a 15% decrease in FTES est (S21: 91; S20: 107).

Table 2. Resident Student Headcount and FTES
Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020		2021		Change		% Change	
Resident Type	Headcount	Est FTES	Headcount	Est FTES	Headcount	Est FTES	Headcount	Est FTES
Resident	10,689	2,280	10,055	2,213	-634	-67	-6%	-3%
AB540	386	107	339	91	-47	-16	-12%	-15%
AB2364	34	6	51	8	17	2	50%	33%
VACA Veteran	21	7	18	6	-3	-1	-14%	-14%
Total	11,130	2,400	10,463	2,318	-667	-82	-6%	-3%

Source: FHDA IRP

- Non-Resident Students
  - Headcount among Spring 2021 resident students is 445, a decline of 15% from Spring 2020 (525).
  - The international student headcount declined from 777 in Spring 2020 to 491 in Spring 2021. The latter figure includes 33 out of country students.

Table 3. Non-Resident Student Headcount and FTES

Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020		2021		Change		% Change	
Resident Type	Headcount	Est FTES	Headcount	Est FTES	Headcount	Est FTES	Headcount	Est FTES
Non-Resident	525	97	445	95	-80	-2	-15%	-2%
International	778	248	458	140	-320	-108	-41%	-44%
Out of Country	0	0	33	12	33	12	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
Total	1,303	345	936	247	-367	-98	-28%	-28%

Spring 2020 did not code for NR: Out of Country.
Source: FHDA IRP

- International F1 Visa Students
  - Headcount among Spring 2021 F1 visa students is 431, a decline of 15% from Spring 2020 (525).
  - The international student headcount declined from 777 in Spring 2020 to 491 in Spring 2021. The latter figure includes 33 out of country students.

Table 4. International (F1 Visa) Students by Gender

Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020		2021		Change	
Gender	Headcount	Percent	Headcount	Percent	Headcount	Percent
Female	314	45%	192	45%	-122	-39%
Male	386	55%	239	55%	-147	-38%
Total	700	100%	431	100%	-269	-38%

Source: FHDA IRP

- International F1 Visa Students by Countries of Origin
  - Headcount among Spring 2021 resident students is 445, a decline of 15% from Spring 2020 (525).
  - The international student headcount declined from 777 in Spring 2020 to 491 in Spring 2021. The latter figure includes 33 out of country students.

Table 5. Top 10 Countries of Origin among F1 Visa Students
Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020		2021		Change	
Countries of Origin	Headcount	Percent	Headcount	Percent	Headcount	Percent
China	270	50%	157	46%	-113	-4%
Taiwan	54	10%	32	9%	-22	-1%
Japan	49	9%	28	8%	-21	-1%
South Korea	34	6%	27	8%	-7	2%
Indonesia	48	9%	26	8%	-22	-1%
Vietnam	13	2%	19	6%	6	4%
India	20	4%	17	5%	-3	1%
Brazil	17	3%	16	5%	-1	2%
Hong Kong	25	5%	15	4%	-10	-1%
Malaysia	8	1%	6	2%	-2	1%
Total	538	100%	343	100%	-195	0%

Filipinx and Latinx are gender neutral terms.
Source: FHDA IRP

- Student Ethnicity Headcount and Est FTES
  - Headcount among White (-411), Asian (-552), and Latinx (-42) students declined between Spring 2020 and Spring 2021.
  - The 6% decrease in FTES est from Spring 2020 and Spring 2021 is primarily due to decline among Asians (-16%) and White (-7%) students.
  - African-American (+2), Filipinx (+13) and Pacific Islander (+10) students increased in headcount between Spring 2020 and Spring 2021.
  - Asian students experienced the greatest decline in headcount (-552).

Table 6. Student Ethnicity Headcount and FTES

Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020		2021		Change		% Change	
Ethnicity	Headcount	Est FTES	Headcount	Est FTES	Headcount	Est FTES	Headcount	Est FTES
African American	484	118	486	118	2	0	0%	0%
Asian	3,982	943	3,430	795	-552	-148	-14%	-16%
Filipinx	579	130	592	139	13	9	2%	7%
Latinx	2,864	652	2,822	664	-42	12	-1%	2%
Native American	57	12	55	13	-2	1	-4%	8%
Pacific Islander	131	34	141	35	10	1	8%	3%
White	3,746	763	3,335	707	-411	-56	-11%	-7%
Decline to State	590	92	538	95	-52	3	-9%	3%
Total	12,433	2,744	11,399	2,566	-1,034	-178	-8%	-6%

Filipinx and Latinx are gender neutral terms.
Source: FHDA IRP

- Student Ethnicity Headcount as Percent of Total Population
  - The rate of decline in headcount is accounted for by Asian (-2%) and White (-1%) students.
  - Despite the decrease in headcount, Latinx students increased as a rate of the total student population (+2%).

Table 7. Student Ethnicity Headcount as a Percent of Total

Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020		2021		Change	
Ethnicity	Headcount	Percent	Headcount	Percent	Headcount	Percent
African American	484	4%	486	4%	2	0%
Asian	3,982	32%	3,430	30%	-552	-2%
Filipinx	579	5%	592	5%	13	1%
Latinx	2,864	23%	2,822	25%	-42	2%
Native American	57	0%	55	0%	-2	0%
Pacific Islander	131	1%	141	1%	10	0%
White	3,746	30%	3,335	29%	-411	-1%
Decline to State	590	5%	538	5%	-52	0%
Total	12,433	100%	11,399	100%	-1,034	0%

Filipinx and Latinx are gender neutral terms.
Source: FHDA IRP

- Zip Code Grouping
  - Headcount continued to decline from the Service Area (-456), including "Service Area: Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part), San Jose (part), Saratoga (part)" (-228) and "Service Area: Los Altos, Los Altos Hills, Mountain View, Palo Alto, Stanford" (-228).
  - "South Peninsula: Atherton, Belmont, East Palo Alto, Foster City, Menlo Park, Redwood City, San Carlos, San Mateo" had the largest decrease in headcount whether inside or outside of the service area (-135).

Table 8. Student Headcount by Zip Code Grouping

Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020	2021	Change	% Change
Zip Code Grouping	HC	HC	HC	HC
Service Area: Los Altos, LA Hills, Mt. View, Palo Alto, Stanford	1,951	1,723	-228	-12%
Service Area: Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part), San Jose (part), Saratoga (part)	1,771	1,543	-228	-13%
S Santa Clara County (SJ west 880/101)	1,617	1,618	1	0%
E Santa Clara County (Alviso, Milpitas, SJ east 880/101)	1,253	1,135	-118	-9%
W Santa Clara County (Campbell, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Santa Clara (rest of), Saratoga (rest of))	391	354	-37	-9%
Other Santa Clara County (Morgan Hill, Gilroy)	99	91	-8	-8%
S Peninsula (Atherton, Belmont, E PA, Foster City, Menlo Park, Redwood City, San Carlos, San Mateo)	1,376	1,241	-135	-10%
W Peninsula (El Granada, Half Moon Bay, La Honda, Ladera, Montara, Moss Veach, Pacifica, Pescadero, Portola Valley, Woodside)	180	157	-23	-13%
N Peninsula (Brisbane, Burlingame, Colma, Daly City, Hillsborough, Millbrae, San Bruno, S SF)	152	111	-41	-27%
S Alameda County (Fremont, Newark, Union City)	390	409	19	5%
Alameda County (rest of)	332	355	23	7%
San Francisco County	191	181	-10	-5%
N Santa Cruz County (Ben Lomand, Boulder Creek, Felton, Santa Cruz, Scotts Valley)	87	81	-6	-7%
Other Bay Area Region	369	347	-22	-6%
All Other Areas	2,274	2,053	-221	-10%
Total	12,433	11,399	-1,034	-8%

Source: FHDA IRP

- Zip Code Grouping as Percent of Total Headcount
  - As a percentage of total headcount, students from the service area decreased by 1%-point from 30% to 29%. Students from the service area represent slightly more than one-fourth of the headcount for Spring 2021.
  - All zip code groupings remained consistent or increased slightly as a percentage of total headcount from Spring 2020 to Spring 2021, with the exception of our primary service area (Los Altos, LA Hills, Mtn. View, Palo Alto, Stanford: -1%-point).

Table 9. Zip Code Grouping as a Percent Change of Total Headcount
Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020		2021	
Zip Code Grouping	HC	% Total	HC	% Total
Service Area: Los Altos, LA Hills, Mt. View, Palo Alto, Stanford	1,951	16%	1,723	15%
Service Area: Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part), San Jose (part), Saratoga (part)	1,771	14%	1,543	14%
S Santa Clara County (SJ west 880/101)	1,617	13%	1,618	14%
E Santa Clara County (Alviso, Milpitas, SJ east 880/101)	1,253	10%	1,135	10%
W Santa Clara County (Campbell, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Santa Clara (rest of), Saratoga (rest of))	391	3%	354	3%
Other Santa Clara County (Morgan Hill, Gilroy)	99	1%	91	1%
S Peninsula (Atherton, Belmont, E PA, Foster City, Menlo Park, Redwood City, San Carlos, San Mateo)	1,376	11%	1,241	11%
W Peninsula (El Granada, Half Moon Bay, La Honda, Ladera, Montara, Moss Veach, Pacifica, Pescadero, Portola Valley, Woodside)	180	1%	157	1%
N Peninsula (Brisbane, Burlingame, Colma, Daly City, Hillsborough, Millbrae, San Bruno, S SF)	152	1%	111	1%
S Alameda County (Fremont, Newark, Union City)	390	3%	409	4%
Alameda County (rest of)	332	3%	355	3%
San Francisco County	191	2%	181	2%
N Santa Cruz County (Ben Lomand, Boulder Creek, Felton, Santa Cruz, Scotts Valley)	87	1%	81	1%
Other Bay Area Region	369	3%	347	3%
All Other Areas	2,274	18%	2,053	18%
Total	12,433	100%	11,399	100%

Source: FHDA IRP

- Zip Code Grouping within Santa Clara County among African Americans, Filipinx, Latinx Students
  - African American, Filipinx, and Latinx headcount increased by 38 students.
  - African American and Latinx students experienced the greatest headcount increase from "South Santa Clara County: San Jose west 880/101" (AA: +7, 72 to 79; L: +50; 501 to 551).

Table 10. Headcount among Disproportionately Impacted Groups within Santa Clara County Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

		2020	2021	Change	% Change
Ethnicity	Zip Code Grouping	HC	HC	HC	HC
African American	Service Area 1 (Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part of), San Jose (part of), Saratoga (part of))	30	31	1	3%
	Service Area 2 (Los Altos, LA Hills, Mt. View, Palo Alto, Stanford)	48	53	5	10%
	S Santa Clara County (SJ west 880/101)	72	79	7	10%
	E Santa Clara County (Alviso, Milpitas, SJ east 880/101)	33	34	1	3%
	W Santa Clara County (Campbell, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Santa Clara (rest of), Saratoga (rest of))	18	10	-8	-44%
	Other Santa Clara County (Morgan Hill, Gilroy)	4	8	4	100%
African American Total		205	215	10	5%
Filipinx	Service Area 1 (Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part of), San Jose (part of), Saratoga (part of))	52	49	-3	-6%
	Service Area 2 (Los Altos, LA Hills, Mt. View, Palo Alto, Stanford)	39	41	2	5%
	S Santa Clara County (SJ west 880/101)	86	96	10	12%
	E Santa Clara County (Alviso, Milpitas, SJ east 880/101)	143	136	-7	-5%
	W Santa Clara County (Campbell, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Santa Clara (rest of), Saratoga (rest of))	10	26	16	160%
	Other Santa Clara County (Morgan Hill, Gilroy)	7	5	-2	-29%
Filipinx Total		337	353	16	5%
Latinx	Service Area 1 (Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part of), San Jose (part of), Saratoga (part of))	256	255	-1	0%
	Service Area 2 (Los Altos, LA Hills, Mt. View, Palo Alto, Stanford)	329	323	-6	-2%
	S Santa Clara County (SJ west 880/101)	501	551	50	10%
	E Santa Clara County (Alviso, Milpitas, SJ east 880/101)	329	309	-20	-6%
	W Santa Clara County (Campbell, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Santa Clara (rest of), Saratoga (rest of))	88	80	-8	-9%
	Other Santa Clara County (Morgan Hill, Gilroy)	42	39	-3	-7%
Latinx Total		1,545	1,557	12	1%
Total		2,087	2,125	38	2%

Filipinx and Latinx are gender neutral terms.

Source: FHDA IRP

- Headcount by County
  - While Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties had the highest enrollment by headcount, these two counties also had the largest decrease in headcount (-872) from Spring 2020 and Spring 2021.
  - Together Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties represent roughly two-thirds of total headcount in Spring 2020 (68%) and Spring 2021 (69%).
  - Alameda County had the largest headcount increase from 722 to 764 (+42).
  - Santa Clara County had the largest headcount decrease from 7,081 to 6,463 (-618).

Table 11. Top 10 Enrollment by County

Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

		2020	2021	Change	% Change
Rank	Zip Code Grouping	HC	HC	HC	HC
1	Santa Clara	7,081	6,463	-618	-9%
2	San Mateo	1,790	1,536	-254	-14%
3	Alameda	722	764	42	6%
4	Los Angeles	428	367	-61	-14%
5	San Luis Obispo	218	141	-77	-35%
6	Contra Costa	214	191	-23	-11%
7	San Francisco	191	181	-10	-5%
8	Orange	168	112	-56	-33%
9	Sacramento	139	133	-6	-4%
10	San Diego	136	138	2	1%
	Total	11,087	10,026	-1,061	-10%

Total Spring 2020 HC=12,933 | Top 10 Spring 2020 represents 86% of total headcount
Total Spring 2021 HC=11,535 | Top 10 Spring 2021 represents 87% of total headcount
Source: FH IRP

- African American Headcount by County
  - Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties represent over half of African American headcount (S20: 56%; S21: 57%).
  - Among the top 10 counties among African Americans, there was a 4% decrease in headcount between Spring 2020 and Spring 2021 (-19 students).
  - Among the top 10 counties among African Americans, San Mateo, San Bernardino, and Sacramento Counties experienced the largest headcount decrease (-7 per county or -21).
  - Among the top 10 counties among African Americans, Santa Clara County experienced the largest headcount increase (+10).

Table 12. Top 10 African American Enrollment by County

Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

		2020	2021	Change	% Change
Rank	Zip Code Grouping	HC	HC	HC	HC
1	Santa Clara	205	215	10	5%
2	San Mateo	65	64	-1	-2%
3	Alameda	57	60	3	5%
4	Los Angeles	34	32	-2	-6%
5	San Bernardino	25	14	-11	-44%
6	Sacramento	20	10	-10	-50%
7	Contra Costa	13	16	3	23%
8	San Joaquin	12	7	-5	-42%
9	San Francisco	8	7	-1	-13%
10	San Diego	6	1	-5	-83%
	Total	445	426	-19	-4%

Total Spring 2020 African American HC=484 | Top 10 Spring 2020 African American represents 92% of total headcount
Total Spring 2021 African American HC=486 | Top 10 Spring 2021 African American represents 88% of total headcount
Source: FH IRP

- Latinx Headcount by County
  - Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties represent over three-fourths of Latinx headcount (S20: 77%; S21: 76%).
  - Among the top 10 counties among Latinx, Santa Clara, San Mateo, and Santa Cruz Counties experienced the largest headcount decrease (-57). Note that fewer students enrolling from San Mateo County accounted for the majority of the decline (-59).
  - Among the top 10 counties among Latinx, Santa Clara, Alameda, and Santa Cruz Counties experienced the largest increase in headcount (+14, +27, +10).

Table 13. Top 10 Latinx Enrollment by County

Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

		2020	2021	Change	% Change
Rank	Zip Code Grouping	HC	HC	HC	HC
1	Santa Clara	1,547	1,561	14	1%
2	San Mateo	655	596	-59	-9%
3	Alameda	126	153	27	21%
4	Los Angeles	79	63	-16	-20%
5	Contra Costa	36	20	-16	-44%
6	Riverside	32	25	-7	-22%
7	San Luis Obispo	29	16	-13	-45%
8	San Joaquin	25	32	7	28%
8	Santa Cruz	25	35	10	40%
10	San Diego	23	25	2	9%
10	Orange	23	17	-6	-26%
	Total	2,600	2,543	-57	-2%

Total Spring 2020 Latinx HC=2,864 | Top 10 Spring 2020 Latinx represents 91% of total headcount
Total Spring 2021 Latinx HC=2,822 | Top 10 Spring 2021 Latinx represents 90% of total headcount
Source: FH IRP

- First Generation Status
  - First generation students decreased from 2,822 to 2,652 between Spring 2020 and Spring 2021 (-170). This decrease represents a -6% in headcount.

Table 14. First Generation Status

Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020		2021			
	HC	Percent	HC	Percent	Change	% Change
First Generation	2,822	24%	2,652	24%	-170	-6%
Non First Generation	9,109	76%	8,284	76%	-825	-9%
Total	11,931	100%	10,936	100%	-995	-8%

NCES defines first generation as a student whose parent(s) completed high school or less.
Only reports those who responded to the questions.
Unknown First Generation HC: Spring 2020 - 558; Spring 2021 - 519
Source: FH IRP

- First Generation by Ethnicity
  - Latinx and Asians represent the majority of first generation students (S20: 77%; S21: 76%).
  - Latinx and Asian students experienced the greatest decrease in their first generation headcount (-145).
  - First generation Pacific Islander (34 to 41) and Filipinx (63 to 65) students increased their headcount.

Table 15. First Generation by Ethnicity

Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020		2021			
Ethnicity	HC	Percent	HC	Percent	Change	% Change
African American	129	5%	121	5%	-8	-6%
Asian	763	27%	673	25%	-90	-12%
Filipinx	63	2%	65	2%	2	3%
Latinx	1,405	50%	1,350	51%	-55	-4%
Native American	9	0%	9	0%	0	0%
Pacific Islander	34	1%	41	2%	7	21%
White	388	14%	366	14%	-22	-6%
Decline to State	31	1%	27	1%	-4	-13%
Total	2,822	100%	2,652	100%	-170	-6%

NCES defines first generation as a student whose parent(s) completed high school or less.
Only reports those who responded to the questions.
Spring 2020 HC: 12,433 | Spring 2021 HC: 11.399
Source: FH IRP

- First Generation Headcount as a Percent of Disproportionately Impacted Student Groups
  - African American and Latinx first generation headcount decreased by 63.
  - African American first generation students decreased by 2-percentage points.
  - First generation students represent almost half of Latinx population (48%).

Table 16. First Generation DI Groups as a Percent of DI Group

Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020		2021			
	HC	Percent	HC	Percent	Change	% Rate Change
African American First Gen	129	27%	121	25%	-8	-2%
African American Total	484		486		2	
Filipinx First Gen	63	11%	65	11%	2	0%
Filipinx Total	579		592		13	
Latinx First Gen	1,405	49%	1,350	48%	-55	-1%
Latinx Total	2,864		2,822		-42	

NCES defines first generation as a student whose parent(s) completed high school or less.
Total headcounts includes non-first generation and unknown generation students.
Source: FH IRP

- Income
  - 323 fewer students reported a low family income (-11%) between Spring 2020 and Spring 2021.
  - Over three-fourths of students reported a family income of $25,001 and above (S21: 77%).

Table 17. Self-Reported Family Income

Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020		2021			
	HC	Percent	HC	Percent	Change	% Change
$25,000 and below (low income)	2,982	24%	2,659	23%	-323	-11%
$25,001 and above (not low income)	9,377	76%	8,695	77%	-682	-7%
Total	12,359	100%	11,354	100%	-1,005	-8%

Question is stated, "What is your family income from the past year? Family income would include the income of you and family members living with you from all sources including jobs as well as other sources such as rents, welfare payments, social security, or even interest from stocks, bonds, savings."
Only reports those who responded to the question. Unknown Income HC: Spring 2020 - 74; Spring 2021 - 45
Source: FH IRP

- Low Income by Ethnicity
  - Low income Latinx represent the one-third of low income students (S20: 30%; S21: 34%).
  - Asian and White students represent roughly half of low income students (S20: 46%; S21: 48%), reflecting an increase of 2% from Spring 2020 to Spring 2021.

Table 18. Self-Reported Low Family Income by Ethnicity

Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020		2021			
Ethnicity	HC	Percent	HC	Percent	Change	% Change
African American	217	7%	183	7%	-34	-16%
Asian	834	25%	687	25%	-147	-18%
Filipinx	113	3%	113	4%	0	0%
Latinx	1,003	30%	941	34%	-62	-6%
Native American	25	1%	20	1%	-5	-20%
Pacific Islander	34	1%	30	1%	-4	-12%
White	715	21%	646	23%	-69	-10%
Decline to State	41	1%	39	1%	-2	-5%
Total	3,332	100%	2,795	100%	-537	-16%

Question is stated, "What is your family income from the past year? Family income would include the income of you and family members living with you from all sources including jobs as well as other sources such as rents, welfare payments, social security, or even interest from stocks, bonds, savings."
Low income=$25,000 and below | Only reports those who responded to the question.
Spring 2020 HC: 12,433 | Spring 2021 HC: 11,399
Source: FH IRP

- Low Income Headcount as a Percent of Disproportionately Impacted Student Groups
  - Overall, low income students represented at least one-third among all African American and Latinx respectively (38% and 33%).
  - Fewer African Americans reported being low income from Spring 2020 to Spring 2021, reflecting an 8%-point decline (HC: -34).

Table 19. Self-Reported Low Family Income DI Groups as a Percent of DI Group
Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020		2021			
	HC	Percent	HC	Percent	Change	% Rate Change
African American Low Income	217	46%	183	38%	-34	-8%
African American Total	476		483		7	
Filipinx Low Income	113	20%	113	19%	0	-1%
Fipinix Total	577		591		14	
Latinx Low Income	1,003	35%	941	33%	-62	-2%
Latinx Total	2,849		2,810		-39	

Question is stated, "What is your family income from the past year? Family income would include the income of you and family members living with you from all sources including jobs as well as other sources such as rents, welfare payments, social security, or even interest from stocks, bonds, savings."
Low income=$25,000 and below | Only reports those who responded to the question.
Source: FH IRP

- Pell Grant
  - A minority of students are awarded a Pell grant (6%) and this rate declined between Spring 2020 and Spring 2021 (-17%).

Table 20. Pell Grant Status
Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020		2021			
	HC	Percent	HC	Percent	Change	% Change
Pell Grant Award	873	7%	722	6%	-151	-17%
No Pell Grant Award	11,560	93%	10,677	94%	-883	-8%
Total	12,433	100%	11,399	100%	-1,034	-8%

Source: FH IRP

- Pell Grant by Ethnicity
  - Latinx student represent the largest percentage of Pell grant recipients (S20/S21: 39%).
  - Decreases among Pell grant recipients occurred for all ethnicities with the largest headcount decreases among African Americans (-44), Latinx (-30), and Asian (-30) students.

Table 21. Pell Grant Recipients by Ethnicity

Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020		2021			
Ethnicity	HC	Percent	HC	Percent	Change	% Change
African American	113	13%	69	10%	-44	-39%
Asian	172	20%	142	20%	-30	-17%
Filipinx	38	4%	37	5%	-1	-3%
Latinx	327	37%	284	39%	-43	-13%
Native American	9	1%	4	1%	-5	-56%
Pacific Islander	12	1%	12	2%	0	0%
White	185	21%	160	22%	-25	-14%
Decline to State	17	2%	14	2%	-3	-18%
Total	873	100%	722	100%	-151	-17%

Pell Award recipients represent 7% of Spring 2020 and 6% of Spring 2021.
Spring 2020 HC: 12,433 | Spring 2021 HC: 11,399
Source: FH IRP

- African American Enrollment by Department
  - MATH and ENGL continued to have the highest enrollment among African Americans, even with MATH decreasing by 2% and ENGL by 22%.
  - BIOL experienced an increase in enrollment from Spring 2020 to Spring 2021 (+57).
  - The top 5 departments enrolling African American students represent slightly over one-fourth of African American total enrollment (S21: 26%).

Table 22. Top 5 Departments by African American Enrollment
Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020	2021		
Department	Enr	Enr	Change	% Change
MATH	81	79	-2	-2%
ENGL	78	61	-17	-22%
PSYC	75	43	-32	-43%
SOC	55	45	-10	-18%
BIOL	51	62	57	22%
Total	340	290	-50	-15%

Top 5 Depts represents 31% S20 African American enr and 26% S21 African American enr
African American Spring 2020 Enr: 1,112 | African American Spring 2021 Enr: 1,135
Source: FH IRP

- Latinx Enrollment by Department
  - MATH enrollment increased from Spring 2020 to Spring 2021 (MATH: +2%).
  - ENGL enrollment decreased from Spring 2020 to Spring 20201 (ENGL: -11%).
  - BIOL experienced one of the larger increases in Latinx enrollment (+14%) from Spring 2020 to Spring 2021.
  - CHLD experienced one of the larger decreases in Latinx enrollment (-17%) from Spring 2020 to Spring 2021.

Table 23. Top 5 Departments by Latinx Enrollment
Spring 2020 and Spring 2021

	2020	2021		
Department	Enr	Enr	Change	% Change
MATH	495	506	11	2%
BIOL	367	417	50	14%
ENGL	360	321	-39	-11%
PSYC	327	310	-17	-5%
CHLD	327	273	-54	-17%
Total	1,876	1,827	-49	-3%

Top 5 Depts represents 31% S20 Latinx enr and 30% S21 Latinx enr
Latinx Spring 2020 Enr: 6,055 | Latinx Spring 2021 Enr: 6,183
Source: FH IRP

- Applicants
  - Just under half of applicants in Spring 2021 enrolled (47%).

Table 24. Applicants and Enrollees

Spring 2021

Students	2021
Applicants	8,514
Registered	3,961
% Registered	47%

Note: Includes students who applied and enrolled for the same quarter.
Source: CCCApply and FHDA IRP