FOOTHILL COLLEGE

COMPREHENSIVE INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAM REVIEW EVALUATION RUBRIC

Program Name: Athletic Injury Care Date Reviewed: 2/6/14

PROGRAM MISSION

	MEETS CRITERIA	NEEDS STRENGTHENING	DOES NOT MEET CRITERIA	COMMENTS
PROGRAM MISSION Clear and concise statement that outlines the principles that guide the work of the program, its programs goals/ objectives, stakeholders and how it connects to the College's overarching mission.	MEETS CRITERIA Clear & concise Specific to the program; who it serves and what is does that separates it from other departments/programs Addresses the larger impact of the program Identifies stakeholders Aligned with College mission statement	111111	DOES NOT MEET CRITERIA No Mission Statement submitted	Green: Good mission statement, needs to align more closely with college mission. Add component that attaches clearly to college mission.

SECTION 1: DATA & TREND ANALYSIS

	MEETS CRITERIA	DOES NOT MEET CRITERIA	COMMENTS
DATA COLLECTION (A-C)		□ Does not present data provided by I & IR OR □ Does not cite other data sources	Green

	MEETS CRITERIA	NEEDS	DOES NOT MEET CRITERIA	COMMENTS
		STRENGTHENING		
ANALYSIS (D) Enrollment Trends (E) Student Demographics (F) Productivity (G) Course Offerings (H, I) Curriculum and SLOs (J) Innovation	 ☑Clear and organized (esp. to those outside program) ☑Analyze previous year's data to past trends, as appropriate. ☑Discussion regarding action plans/strategies that result from data applysis as appropriate. 	Contains irrelevant or unclear information. Portions of analysis are incomplete, limited discussion of action plans/strategies.	Does not provide analysis or discussion of action plans/strategies.	Green: Analysis of the following could be more complete: student demographics, mandated student to faculty ratio, service to underserved populations.
(J) Innovation	that result from data analysis, as appropriate.			

SECTION 2: STUDENT EQUITY AND INSTITUTIONAL STANDARDS

	MEETS CRITERIA	DOES NOT MEET CRITERIA	Comments
		Analysis does not	Yellow:
(A)	these indicators compare	consider how these	Analysis should include
COURSE COMPLETION	at your program level and	indicators compare at your	discussion of differences in
(B)	at the college level	program level and at the	student demographics
DEGREE COMPLETION	Analysis includes	college level	
(C)	discussion of any	⊠Analysis does not	
CERTIFICATE	differences by student	include discussion of any	
COMPLETION	demographics	differences by student	
(D)		demographics	
TRANSFER TO FOUR			
YEAR COLLEGES/			
UNIVERSITIES			

SECTION 3: CORE MISSION & SUPPORT

	MEETS CRITERIA	NEEDS STRENGTHENING	DOES NOT MEET CRITERIA	COMMENTS
(A) BASIC SKILLS	Analysis includes course completion data and factors that affect trends Includes plan to address issues identified in analysis	☐Analysis includes course completion data only ☐Plan to address issues identified is limited	☐ Analysis does not include course completion data and/or factors that affect trends ☐ Does not include plans to address issues identified in analysis	N/A
	MEETS CRITERIA	NEEDS STRENGTHENING	DOES NOT MEET CRITERIA	COMMENTS
(B) TRANSFER	Discussion of strategies or initiatives to improve transfer rates Addresses any transfer related issues, including articulation	Discussion includes transfer data but analysis is limited Addresses any transfer related issues, including articulation but is limited	☐ No discussion or analysis of transfer data ☐ Does not address any transfer related issues, including articulation	Yellow: Specific strategies and data should be more detailed.

	MEETS CRITERIA	NEEDS STRENGTHENING	DOES NOT MEET CRITERIA	COMMENTS
(C) WORKFORCE	Discussion & analysis of labor market data, including current demand, placement & wage data for Foothill program and regional program Documentation of advisory board meetings and community outreach efforts Discussion of accreditation process and any outcome assessment efforts, if applicable	Limited discussion & analysis of labor market data, including current demand, placement & wage data for Foothill program and regional program Mincomplete documentation of advisory board meetings and community outreach efforts Limited discussion of accreditation process and any outcome assessment efforts, if applicable	No discussion & analysis of labor market data, including current demand, placement & wage data for Foothill program and regional program No documentation of advisory board meetings and community outreach efforts No discussion of accreditation process and any outcome assessment efforts, when applicable	Green: Community service/outreach discussion beyond Foothill needed. No advisory board meeting minutes attached.

SECTION 4: LEARNING OUTCOMES ASSESSMENT

	MEETS CRITERIA	DOES NOT MEET CRITERIA	COMMENTS
COURSE LEVEL	Four Column report for CL-SLO Assessment from TracDat attached	Four Column report for CL-SLO Assessment from TracDat not attached	Click here to enter text.
PROGRAM LEVEL	Four Column report for PL-SLO Assessment from TracDat attached	Four Column report for PL-SLO Assessment from TracDat not attached	

SECTION 5: SLO ASSESSMENT & REFLECTION

	MEETS CRITERIA	NEEDS	DOES NOT MEET CRITERIA	COMMENTS
		STRENGTHENING		
SLO Assessment &	□ Discussion regarding	Discussion	Discussion regarding	Green:
Reflection	section prompts are	regarding section	section prompts are not	In future discussion, clarify how CL-
	student focused	prompts are somewhat	student focused	SLOs and PL-SLOs are shaping your
	Discussion of whether	student focused	No discussion of whether	program.
	CL-SLO and PL-SLO	Limited discussion	CL-SLO and PL-SLO	
	assessments have led to	of whether CL-SLO and	assessments have led to any	
	any changes, including	PL-SLO assessments	changes, including trends.	
	trends	have led to any	No discussion of how CL-	
	Discussion of how CL-	changes, including	SLOs are related to PL-SLOs,	
	SLOs are related to PL-	<u>tre</u> nds	and to the college mission	
	SLOs, and to the college	Limited discussion	No demonstration of	
	mission	of how CL-SLOs are	dialogue in your program	
	Demonstration of	related to PL-SLOs, and	that shape/evaluate PL-SLOs	
	dialogue in your program	to the college mission.		
	that shape/evaluate PL-	Limited		
	SLOs	demonstration of		
		dialogue in your		
		program that		
		shape/evaluate PL-		
		SLOs		

SECTION 6: PROGRAM GOALS AND RATIONALE

	MEETS CRITERIA	DOES NOT MEET CRITERIA	COMMENTS
	Previous year goals	Previous year goals do not	Yellow:
Program Goals and	include status update	include status update	Previous Goal #1 needs to be
Rationale	New goals are tied to	New goals are not tied to	student focused, not a resource
	college initiatives, such as	college initiatives, such as	request.
	improved student success	improved student success	New Goal #1 needs to be student
	Discussion of how	No discussion of how	focused.
	progress toward new	progress toward new	
	program goals will be	program goals will be	
	measurable	measurable	

SECTION 7: PROGRAM RESOURCES AND SUPPORT

	MEETS CRITERIA	DOES NOT MEET	COMMENTS
		CRITERIA	
	All Program resource	Program resource	Green
Program Resources &	needs/requests are tied to	needs/requests are not	
Support	a related goal in section 6	tied to a related goal in	
	and explains how the	section 6.	
	resource request supports	No evaluation of	
	the aforementioned goal	resources	
	Evaluation of	needs/requests over	
	resources needs/requests	past three-year cycle	
	over past three-year cycle	(especially if requests	
	(especially if requests	have been granted) in	
	have been granted) in	increasing student	
	increasing student success	success and student	
	and student equity.	equity.	

SECTION 8: PROGRAM REVIEW SUMMARY

	MEETS CRITERIA	DOES NOT MEET CRITERIA	COMMENTS
	Current program	Current program review	Green
Program Review	review addresses	does not address concerns or	
Summary	concerns or	recommendations that were	
	recommendations that	made in prior year program	
	were made in prior year	review cycle	
	program review cycle		

SECTION 9: FEEDBACK AND FOLLOW UP

	MEETS CRITERIA	DOES NOT MEET CRITERIA	COMMENTS
Program Review Summary	Dean and VP commented on Program Review Dean and VP feedback agree with the discussion and analysis provided by the program	Dean and VP did not comment on Program Review Dean and VP feedback does not agree with the discussion and analysis provided by the program	Green: Dean provided comments and data collection suggestions that should have been addressed in program review.

REVIEWER NOTES: Green

Program review well written and provides thoughtful reflection on the changes needed for the program to grow.

Document has more emphasis on serving student athletes than on students enrolled in the program. More evidence could be provided to document what program accomplishes outside the Foothill community. Document cites data from other sources, but no hard data provided.