Dear **Anthropology** Program Review Author:

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. Your work contributes to the College's effort to seek ways to constantly improve on behalf of students.

Strengths

The PRC felt that overall the response was an improvement over the submission for 2012-13.

The PRC thought that the Pathways chart was very helpful in explaining the program.

Suggestions for Improvement

The PRC felt that some of the comments made by the PRC last year were not addressed, specifically:

- There is still no tracking on nontranscriptable certificates and no discussion of making them transcriptable.
- There is a statement about a "strong transfer program" but no evidence is provided.

The PRC suggests that the department consider other approaches, in addition to "online quality standards" to reduce the equity gap in student success for online courses.

The PRC found that although the Goals mention "repeatability," this issue is not referenced elsewhere in the document so it is unclear what need the goal is trying to address.

The PRC suggests that to improve the Program Level Outcomes Assessment the department seek out assistance from the Division SLO Coordinator and Institutional Research.

Recommendation – Yellow

The program is recommended to continue in the regular program review cycle.