

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, May 5, 2016
Graphic and Interactive Design

FINAL

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational master plan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC commends the department for work being done to move the curriculum more towards web design and graphic design and stepping away from more traditional arts (in response to market trends and student interest/demand).

PRC commends the department on the use of TracDat to enter in CL-SLO and PL-SLO assessments and reflections.

Suggestions for Improvement

PRC suggests the department continue to monitor productivity.

PRC suggests the department meet to discuss the differences in course success rates for males and females.

PRC suggests more thorough reflections of course-level SLO (CL-SLO) assessments; there is a lack of discussion on how SLO assessment leads to ongoing change and/or improvement.

Recommendation – Green*

PRC rated the Data Trends & Analysis section of the program review documents as a yellow due to low productivity.

The program is recommended to continue in the regular program review cycle.

* reviewed by PRC without VPI comments

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz; Andrew Lamanque; Vinita Bali; Kevin Harral; Jiatong Li; Simon Pennington; Cara Miyasaki; Craig Gawlick; Michelle Palma; Claudia Flores; Elaine Kuo